

PEER REVIEW PROCESS

The purpose of the peer review process is to ensure the publication of high-quality and original scientific studies. All articles published in the *Journal of Turkish Academic Novelty* (TAN) are peer-reviewed, and every manuscript submitted for publication undergoes the peer review process described below.

Preliminary Evaluation

All manuscripts submitted to the journal and successfully screened for plagiarism are first subjected to a preliminary evaluation by the Editorial Board. Manuscripts that fall outside the scope of the journal or fail to meet the substantive and formal requirements of a scientific article are rejected before the peer review process begins, or revisions may be requested. At this stage, no manuscript may be accepted for publication by the editor without a favorable reviewer report.

Reviewer Policy

The *Journal of Turkish Academic Novelty* (TAN) employs a double-blind peer review system in which the identities of both reviewers and authors remain confidential throughout all stages of the process.

Peer Review

Manuscripts that pass the preliminary evaluation are sent to at least two reviewers selected by the editor and/or the Editorial Board. The number of reviewers may be increased when deemed necessary by the Editor or Editorial Board. Reviewers are selected from the journal's reviewer pool on the basis of their areas of expertise.

Reviewers evaluate the manuscripts submitted to them in terms of originality, methodology, contribution to the literature, presentation of findings, support for the conclusions, and appropriate use of previous studies. Reviewers may recommend acceptance, rejection, or revisions concerning form and/or content. A manuscript must receive favorable opinions from at least two reviewers to be accepted for publication. If one reviewer report is positive and the other is negative, the manuscript is sent to a third reviewer.

If revisions are requested by one or more reviewers, the author(s) must complete the requested revisions, taking the reviewers' comments and recommendations into account, and resubmit the manuscript to the Editorial Board within 60 days at the latest. Manuscripts not resubmitted within two months are removed from the evaluation process. Reviewers may request more than one round of revision for the same manuscript. Manuscripts not accepted for publication are not returned to the authors.

Final Evaluation

All manuscripts that receive favorable reviewer opinions and reach the publication stage undergo a final evaluation by the Editor or Editorial Board. Manuscripts deemed "publishable" by the Editor or Editorial Board are placed in the publication queue.

POINTS WE ASK OUR REVIEWERS TO CONSIDER:

REVIEW CRITERION	ASSESSMENT QUESTION
Article Titles	Are the Turkish and English titles appropriate for the content and scope of the study?
Abstract Content	Does the abstract clearly include the purpose of the study, the method used, the findings obtained, and the recommendations?
Abstract Consistency	Are the Turkish and English abstracts consistent with each other?
Keywords	Are the Turkish and English keywords appropriate, parallel, and sufficient?
References: Relevance and Currency	Are the sources used in the manuscript sufficient, up to date, and relevant to the subject of the study?
Citations and Reference List	Is every source cited in the manuscript included in the reference list?
Uncited Sources	Does the reference list contain any source that is not cited in the manuscript?
Introduction	Are the subject and purpose of the study sufficiently emphasized in the introduction?
Research Method	Is the research method or are the research methods used appropriate for the subject of the study?
Figures and Tables	Are the figures and tables arranged clearly and appropriately in accordance with the research data?
Discussion of Findings	Are the research findings discussed sufficiently?
Coherence and Clarity	Is the study presented coherently and fluently in clear and understandable language?
Grammar and Language Use	Does the study comply with the rules of grammar and language use?
Original Contribution	Does the study have original value in terms of its contribution to science?